

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION**

JIMICA D. PHELPS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MARK J. TEKULVE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

Case No. 1:24-cv-0041

Judge Jeffery P. Hopkins

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the Report and Recommendation issued by Chief Magistrate Judge Karen L. Litkovitz on February 16, 2024. Doc. 5. After performing an initial review of Plaintiff's Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the Magistrate Judge recommended that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint and the Report and Recommendation. Noting that no objections have been filed and that the time for filing such objections under Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) has expired, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation in its entirety.¹


Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Complaint be **DISMISSED** with prejudice for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted. For the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), the Court certifies that an appeal of this Order would lack an arguable basis in law or in fact and thus would not

¹ The Court notes that Plaintiff has filed a plethora of documents, none of which can be construed as a valid objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. *See* Docs. 6–20.

be taken in good faith. The Court accordingly **DENIES** Plaintiff leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. In accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5), Plaintiff remains free to file a motion for leave to proceed on appeal *in forma pauperis* in the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. *Callihan v. Schneider*, 178 F.3d 800, 803 (6th Cir. 1999).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 26, 2024


Hon. Jeffery P. Hopkins
United States District Judge